

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,102	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIK KASHNIKOW	1782	

All Participants:

(1) ERIK KASHNIKOW.

(2) Martin Ostrowski.

Date of Interview: 3 November 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
Claims 1, 16, and 32-37

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner called applicants representative to discuss an examiners amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to let the examiner amend the term "consisting essentially" in all the amended claims to "comprising".

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30pm

/Rena L. Dye/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)